




KOSOVA JOURNAL OF SURGERY

TRAUMA AND CRITICAL CARE SURGERY UPDATE: EXPANDING THE EVIDENCE — PART II



Milestones and Key Figures in the Development of Damage Control Surgery

VEDAT ELJEZI: State of the Art Review: Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO): A Life-Saving Therapy And Its Challenges of Implementation In Emerging Healthcare Systems

NOAH ANTES, HUNTER ROW, THOMAS HALDIS, CORNELIUS DYKE: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement for Severe Aortic Stenosis: A Review and Special Considerations

LULEJETA ATLANTIDA LATIFI, RIFAT LATIFI: Damage Control Surgery: An Update

WADE HOPPER, MENTOR AHMETI: State of the Art Interventions in Geriatric Trauma

DANIEL SCHEESE, TRAVIS SULLIVAN, JEFFREY HAYNES, EDGAR RODAS: Navigating the Challenges of Managing Pediatric Trauma: Current Trends, Evidence, and Practical Pathways

BELINDA DE SIMONE, FAUSTO CATENA, RIFAT LATIFI: Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis in Trauma and Critically Ill Patients: A State-of-the-Art Evidence-Based Review

OLUWAFEMI P. OWODUNNI, ELLIOTT HAUT: Implementing Sustainable Systems for Achieving Excellence in Venous Thromboembolism Prevention

**Volume 10, Issue 1, Part II:
Trauma and Critical
Care Surgery Update:
Expanding the Evidence
April 2026
ISSN: 3027-5008 (Online)
ISSN: 3027-5016 (Print)**



Image of the Month

Celia Villodre^(1,2,3), Beatriz Remezal, MD^(4,5), Candido Alcazar, PhD, FACS^(1,2,3),
Jose M. Ramia, PhD, FACS, FRCS, FRCS Ed, FKCS^(1,2,3)

¹ HPB and Liver Transplantation Service. Department of Surgery
Hospital General Universitario Dr. Balmis de Alicante. Alicante (Spain)

² Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de Alicante (ISABIAL).
Alicante (Spain)

³ Universidad Miguel Hernández. Alicante (Spain)

⁴ Department of Surgery. Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó, Elche (Spain)

⁵ Health Sciences PhD Program, Universidad Católica de Murcia UCAM, Campus
de los Jerónimos No.135, Guadalupe 30107, Murcia, Spain

Corresponding Author:

JOSE M. RAMIA

Head of Department. Department of Surgery. Hospital General Universitario de Alicante
Professor of Surgery. Universidad Miguel Hernández
Sol Naciente 8, 16D Alicante (Spain), 03016.
E-mail: jose_ramia@hotmail.com

Keywords: accessory right hepatic vein, inferior cava vein, anatomical variations, liver transplantation.

- The case report was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital General Universitario Dr. Balmis. Alicante (Spain).
- Written consent was obtained from patient.
- This manuscript includes three images.
- Manuscript word count: 319

Image of the Month

Understanding anatomical variations of accessory right hepatic veins (aRHVs) is crucial in hepatobiliary and liver transplantation (LT) surgeries. During these procedures, multiple small accessory veins between the liver and the inferior vena cava (IVC) may be encountered, which are often missed on CT scans and might not be true aRHVs. True aRHVs include the inferior right hepatic vein (IRHV), which drains segment VI directly

into the IVC, and the middle right hepatic vein (MRHV), which drains segment VII (Figure 1). Their occurrence, shape, and number vary significantly, with reported rates ranging from 6% to 52.5%. The simultaneous presence of MRHV and IRHV is extremely rare. Computed tomography (CT) remains the primary method for preoperative detection, enabling accurate vascular mapping and reducing surgical risks.

A 67-year-old man with a MELD score of 12, who has alcohol-related cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, underwent LT. Preoperative computed tomography revealed an IRHV that drains segment VI directly into the inferior vena cava (Figure 2), as well as an MRHV draining segment VII independently (Figure 3). During hepatectomy, both aRHV were clearly identified and ligated individually. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on postoperative day 6.

Clinically, aRHVs are particularly important,

especially in living donor LT, where aRHVs larger than 3 mm require venous reconstruction to prevent complications such as hemorrhage or graft ischemia. In liver surgery, understanding the spatial relationship between aRHVs and the main right hepatic vein is essential for surgical planning. Overall, variability in definitions and a lack of standardization lead to inconsistent reporting across studies. Recognizing these differences before surgery is therefore vital to improve surgical outcomes and reduce complications.

DIAGNOSIS: ACCESSORY IHRV AND MHRV

Learning points

- Preoperative identification of aRHVs is crucial to avoid surgical complications.
- CT imaging is the primary method for precise hepatic vascular mapping.
- Anatomical variations greatly influence surgical planning and results.

- The absence of standardized definitions leads to variability in reported incidence.

References:

1. Tani K, Shindoh J, Akamatsu N, Arita J, Kaneko J, Sakamoto Y et al. Venous drainage map of the liver for complex hepatobiliary surgery and liver transplantation. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2016 Dec;18(12):1031-1038. doi: 10.1016/j.hpb.2016.08.007. Epub 2016 Sep 21. PMID: 27665239; PMCID: PMC5144551.
2. Cawich SO, Naraynsingh V, Pearce NW, Deshpande RR, Rampersad R, Gardner MT et al. Surgical relevance of anatomic variations of the right hepatic vein. *World J Transplant*. 2021 Jun 18;11(6):231-243. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v11.i6.231. PMID: 34164298; PMCID: PMC8218342.
3. Anwar AS, Srikala J, Papalkar AS, Parveez MQ, Sharma A. Study of anatomical variations of hepatic vasculature using multidetector computed tomography angiography. *Surg Radiol Anat*. 2020 Dec;42(12):1449-1457. doi: 10.1007/s00276-020-02532-5. Epub 2020 Jul 20. PMID: 32691112.

Figure 1: Scheme of accessory hepatic veins. IRHV: inferior right hepatic vein; MRHV: middle right hepatic vein; RHV: right hepatic vein




Figure 2: CT axial and sagittal: IRHV: inferior right hepatic vein; IVC: inferior vena cava; A: ascites.




Figure 3: CT axial: MRHV: middle right hepatic vein; RHV: right hepatic vein IVC: inferior vena cava

